

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19353 of 2016.
Decided on:-30.05.2016.

Paramjit Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to the respondents No.2 to 5 to take remedial action on the complaints submitted by the petitioner.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he does not press the present petition qua respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.4 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur is directed to look into the complaint dated 26.2.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Accordingly, the present petition qua respondents No.1 to 3 is dismissed as not pressed.

Notice of motion to respondents No.4 and 5 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to look into the contents of complaint (Annexure P-3) and to act in accordance with law.

May 30, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE