

271/2.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19338-2016

Date of decision:12.08.2016

Phool Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.617, dated 09.10.2013 under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 31.05.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted the report dated 22.07.2016 to the effect that the

parties have compromised the matter voluntarily.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Suman has suffered a statement before the learned Magistrate on 16.07.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with all the accused without any pressure and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

The learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.617, dated 09.10.2013 under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.08.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.