

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19330-2016
Date of decision: 07.09.2016**

Praveen Chugh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 55 dated 11.11.2013, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Police Station, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1), is sought on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of statement made by Shuchi-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Parveen Chugh-petitioner No.1 on 20.01.2010 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. Soon after the marriage, in-laws (petitioners) of the complainant started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing inadequate dowry. They also used to beat her on petty matters. In this

background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.2-Shuchi, vide compromise deed dated 21.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 30.05.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonapat, has been received in this regard. As per report, Shuchi-respondent No.2 made her statement on 08.08.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with the accused (petitioners). They (petitioner No.1 and complainant) have taken divorce from Family Court, Sonapat with mutual consent. Now they have no relations with each other. She has no objection, if the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of Praveen-petitioner No.1 was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 55 dated 11.11.2013, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Police Station, District Sonipat, is quashed with all consequential proceedings

arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

07.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No