

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

236

**CRM-M-19269-2016**

**Date of decision : 01.12.2016**

**SUMAN BEDI AND OTHERS**

**..... Petitioners**

**versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

**\*\*\***

Present : Ms. Jatinder Jit Kaur, Advocate and  
Ms. Harmit Kaur , Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate  
for respondent No.4

Mr. R.S. Tcoria, Advocate  
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

**\*\*\***

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed for issuance of direction to official respondents to take action against the private respondents in FIR No. 587 dated 22.06.2015 registered under Section 406, 420 IPC at police station Sadar Hisar.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.4. Mr. R.S.Tacoria, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.6 and states that though he has no power of attorney for respondent No.5 yet since respondent No.5 is the son of respondent No.6 and they have common interest he would appear on behalf of respondent No. 5 also.

Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 has argued that the allegations which the petitioners have made in the present FIR are also made in the civil suit which they have filed for declaring the sale deed as null and void on the same ground viz. fraud and consequently no further action is required in a criminal case till such time the Civil Court has decided on the validity of the sale deed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in this Court they are talking about civil suit but in the civil suit they are not appearing.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5 and 6 state that though they have no personal knowledge whether their clients are appearing or not in the civil suit but now they have received notice of the civil suit on behalf of their clients and their client will duly enter appearance in that case on the next date of hearing before the trial Court.

In these circumstances, this petition stands disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to move a fresh petition in case no action is taken after the decision of the trial Court.

**December 01, 2016**  
anuradha

**(AJAY TEWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No