

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-19245 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.07.2016

Pradeep Dhankar ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Dala Ram.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

In this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.519, dated 16.07.2012, registered under Section 409 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sirsa City.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of statement made by Assistant Executive Engineer. He states that there is not even a single instance shown in the FIR, where any employee has faced hardship due to the non-deposit of EPF contribution by the petitioner's company.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioner did not deposit the EPF contributions to the concerned

authority, therefore, he embezzled Rs.3,80,639/-. He further states that the petitioner is also involved in three other similar FIRs.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner that being a service provider to the HVPNL, he did not deposit the EPF contributions amounting to Rs.3,80,639/- with the concerned authority. The petitioner is also involved in as many as three identical FIRs, therefore, the conduct of the petitioner disentitles him to the relief sought by him.

No case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

However, the trial Court shall make an endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.07.2016
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No