

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6766 of 1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 6.10.2016

Raj Pal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula
and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the respondents

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The prayer against the termination of the petitioners has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners have been regularized in 2003, in terms of the then prevailing policy on regularization. To that extent, the interim stay order granted by this Court in favour of the petitioners have contributed to the relief granted by the department by according permanency to them. So far as the claim for regularization of services of the petitioners with effect from the date prior to 2003 is concerned, the petitioners would be free to make a representation to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider their request for ante-dated regularization on any ground available to them in law, including that of discrimination as against those who may have been regularized earlier to them. In case this is factually correct position on record, this Court has no doubt that the competent authority would consider the request of the petitioners in accordance with law. In case representation is made within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, then the competent authority is expected to pass a reasoned order after

hearing the petitioners collectively or individually within four months from the date of receipt of the representation from the petitioners. After the decision is taken, the order be conveyed to the petitioners.

With these observations, this writ petition is disposed of.

6.10.2016
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No