

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-1917 of 2016

Date of Decision: 11.03.2016

Sonia & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Vijay Partap, Advocate for
Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate
for respondents no.4 and 5.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in terms of order dated 19.1.2016, an FDR for an amount of Rs.1 lac has been made in favour of petitioner No.1-Sonia with the Central Bank of India for a term of three years. A photocopy of the same has been produced in Court which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that respondents no.4 and 5 have made statement to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

Without commenting on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of. However, in case the petitioners still feel that there is any threat to their life and liberty, they may approach Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, respondent no.2, who shall redress their grievance, if any, in accordance with law.

March 11, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE