

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.19129 of 2016
Date of Decision : 10.08.2016**

Rajesh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ravi Malik, Adv. for
Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.63 dated 16.04.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 452, 506 IPC read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal, Haryana.

On 30.05.2016 the following order was passed:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to accused petitioner Rajesh in case FIR No.63 dated 16.4.2016 under

Sections 148,323,452,506 read with Section 149 IPC,PS Bahin,Distt.Palwal.

Complainant-Kanta alleges that she and her son Rajesh were inflicted injuries by the accused party on 15.4.2016 at around 4 pm. The accused party comprising father his son and relatives of her daughter-inlaw Preeti wife of Rajesh who had come to take away Preeti from her matrimonial home and on resisting such an attempt injuries were inflicted.

It is contended that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 10.8.2016.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall appear before the I.O., as and when called upon for investigation and shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned Additional Advocate General on instructions from ASI Bharat Pal has accepted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 30.05.2016 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 10, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No