

CRM-M-19128-2016

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19128-2016*Date of decision : 28.05.2016**TEJVIR SINGH AND ANOTHER**..... Petitioners*

Versus

*STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**..... Respondents***CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Petitioners in person with Mr. Pulkit Dagar, Advocate.

Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for respondent 3 and 4.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In pursuance of the order of this Court, ASI Kuldeep Singh has produced both the petitioners before this Court. According to the averments mentioned in the petition, the date of birth of the petitioner No. 1 is 25.05.1995 and affidavit in support has also been attached as Annexure P-1.

It is claimed by the both the petitioners that they have solemnized marriage on 26.05.2016. In the affidavit, the petitioner No. 1 is shown as 12th pass whereas in the Court he stated that he is only 11th pass. Therefore, apparently some of the contents of the affidavit are not correct.

The learned counsel for the petitioners have placed on file the photographs of the marriage which has been placed as Annexure-P-4 as well as marriage certificate from Shri Balaji Astrology Centre, Mata Masa Devi Market, Sector 4, Pachkula certifying that the petitioners have solemnized marriage in the said temple.

CRM-M-19128-2016

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents No. 3 and 4 who are the father and mother of the petitioner No. 2.

In these circumstances, without going into the validity of the marriage and the age of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 27.05.2016 (AnnexureP-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

The present petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 27, 2016
Suresh Kumar