

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-19074 of 2016
Date of Decision:-30.05.2016**

Sandeep Dhingra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Ranbir Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition. He submits that the application preferred by the petitioner under Section 311 Cr.P.C. seeking relief to bring on record the additional evidence was cryptic and evasive. He, therefore, submits that considering the fact that the application filed by the petitioner was evasive, he be permitted to file fresh application giving complete details.

Dismissed as not pressed, however, with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh application as admissible under law.

May 30, 2016
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**