

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18118-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.11.2016

SURAT SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Raj Singh.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.5

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition, the petitioner has sought the issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.5 and to take legal action against respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Even on the last two consecutive dates, short accommodation had been sought on behalf of learned arguing counsel for the petitioner.

The learned State counsel submits that during the course of investigation statement of respondent No.4-Gurmeet Kaur @ Sukhi was recorded, photocopy of the same is taken on record as Mark 'A', wherein she

has stated that she does not wish to reside with the petitioner-husband and was never detained by respondent No.5.

The learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that respondent No.5 has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is holding rank of Head Constable and posted as Gunman of Superintendent of Police, Security, Industrial, District Jalandhar.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and carefully perused the entire record on file.

The matter was enquired into and no threat perception was noticed by the competent authority. The dispute is essentially between the husband and wife, wherein respondent No.5 has also been attributed a role, which is negated by the statement of respondent No.4.

In this view of the matter, the present petition appears to be misconceived and no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed.

07.11.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No