

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19050 of 2016.
Decided on:-28.05.2016.

Brij Bhushan.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to the respondent No.3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the petitioner has taken money from respondent No.5 and he has paid substantial amount, but still respondent No.5 is visiting the petitioner repeatedly and to compel him to pay the amount.

Heard.

The modus adopted by the petitioner is totally uncalled for.

Firstly, he has taken loan from respondent No.5 and when he is required to pay back the same, he has projected a false story. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioner, rather, he is required to be dealt with severely.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

May 28, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE