

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-18857 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : May 28, 2016

Shenaz Preet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate.

Respondent No.6 in person.

Mr. Rajender Singh Duggal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.6 to 8.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent No.6 is present in the Court and seeks time to talk to his daughter-petitioner No.1.

Petitioner No.1 consistently refused to talk to her father. Upon this, respondent No.6 has stated that he does not want to keep any relation with petitioner No.1 and she would not come to his house. Respondent No.6 states that they will not cause any harm to the petitioners.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 18.5.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The certificate of the marriage has been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copy of Matriculation Examination Certificate, showing the date of birth of petitioner No.1 and true typed copy of birth certificate of petitioner No.2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 18.5.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 18.5.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 28, 2016
sarita