

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

CRM-M-1885-2016

Decided on: March 16, 2016.

Mukesh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner having already put in appearance before the trial Court in proceedings under Section 7 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, he is not required to be kept in custody.

Petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner will remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished by him pursuant to the interim order dated 20.01.2016 subject to the conditions that he will not absent himself without any sufficient cause and will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

March 16, 2016
harsha