

Sr. No.227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-18831 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.06.2016

Paramjeet Kaur @ Pammi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D.Sachdeva, Additional A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.30 dated 15.02.2016, under Section 370 of Indian Penal Code and later on added Section 2 of Human Trafficking Act, 2008, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana.

As per prosecution version, secret information had been received that Tejvir Singh, his wife Rashpal Kaur, Baljinder Kaur and Gurmeet Kaur having formed a gang and are dealing in trafficking of minors.

As per secret information received on 15.02.2016 all the aforenoticed persons were proceeding in a Maruti car along with a minor child aged about 4-5 days and were proceeding from Moga to Samrala to sell the child.

Based upon such secret information, *nakabandi* was laid down and a vehicle was intercepted and all the aforenoticed persons were

apprehended on the spot along with the child.

Name of the petitioner was not given out in the secret information. Petitioner was neither the occupant of the car and as such was not even apprehended on the spot.

Petitioner was arrested on 18.02.2016.

Investigation in the case is complete and even challan stands presented on 07.04.2016.

Learned State counsel has not been able to advert from the challan document as regards any incriminating evidence that may have been collected against the present petitioner to show her complicity in the offence.

Contention raised by counsel appearing for the petitioner that it is a case of false implication cannot be said to be without merit.

Trial is still at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

In view of the above, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Ludhiana.

It is, however, clarified that observations contained in this order are confined only as regards considering the prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail and would have no bearing on the merits of the case.

Disposed of.

01.06.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**