

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: August 19, 2016

1. CRM No. M-18826 of 2016

Ramesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners....

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents....

2. CRM No. M-18990 of 2016

Dinesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. KBS Mann, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-18826 of 2016 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-18990 of 2016.

Mr. A.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3 in CRM No. M-18826 of 2016.

Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-18990 of 2016.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two petitions i.e CRM No. M-18826 of 2016 filed by *Ramesh Kumar and others* and CRM No. M-18990 of 2016 filed by *Dinesh Kumar and others*.

2. CRM No. M-18826 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.27, dated 20.05.2012 under

Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

3. CRM-M-18990 of 2016 has been filed for quashing of cross case registered vide DDR No. 18, dated 20.05.2012, under Sections 324, 323, 427, 148 and 149 IPC in FIR No.27, dated 20.05.2012 under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

4. Separate reports of Id. Judicial Magistrate have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that statements made by the parties are voluntary and their compromise is without any undue influence, pressure, coercion, voluntary and with mutual consent. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed, FIR No.27, dated 20.05.2012 under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom including cross-version case recorded as DDR No. 18, dated 20.05.2012, under Sections 324, 323, 427, 148 and 149 IPC are quashed qua the

petitioner(s).

August 19, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**