

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: January 15, 2016

1. CRM No. M-17752 of 2015

Sardool Singh and others

...Petitioners....

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents....

2. CRM No. M-18024 of 2015

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-17752 of 2015 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-18024 of 2015.

Mr. A.S. Kler, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3 in CRM No. M-17752 of 2015 and
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-18024 of 2015.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two petitions i.e CRM No. M-17752 of 2015 filed by *Sardool Singh and others* and CRM No. M-18024 of 2015 filed by *Gurpreet Singh and others*.

2. CRM No. M-17752 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case registered

vide DDR No. 14, dated 17.03.2008, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 148, 149 and 336 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act in FIR No. 118, dated 16.06.2008 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC and offence under Section 326 IPC (added later on), Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 23.05.2015 (Annexure P-3).

3. CRM No. M-18024 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for FIR No. 118, dated 16.06.2008 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC and offence under Section 326 IPC (added later on), Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 23.05.2015 (Annexure P-2).

4. Separate reports of Id. Judicial Magistrate have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise arrived at between the complainant and accused persons seems to be genuine. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed, FIR No.118, dated 16.06.2008, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC and offence under Section 326 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom including cross-version case recorded as DDR No. 14, dated 17.06.2008 are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

January 15, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE