

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(265)

CRM-M-18686-2016

Date of Decision:-22.09.2016

Naib Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Kulbhushan Soi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejit Kaur, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.25 dated 09.03.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Ravi Gakhar, counsel for the complainant state that compromise have been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated May 26, 2016, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the prominent persons is genuine and without any coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.25 dated 09.03.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

September 22, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No