

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.17649 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11th January, 2016

Kulwant Singh Gill

... Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP UT Chandigarh
for the respondent.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Allegations against the petitioner Kulwant Singh Gill in this 3rd application seeking regular bail in case FIR No.02 dated 23.05.2014 (Annexure P1) registered under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC and Sections 13(1)(d) and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 pertaining to Police Station Vigilance, UT Chandigarh, are that while being President of the Chandigarh Sector 16 Cooperative House Building Society Limited had along with his Executive Members/co-accused/non-applicants had collected ₹5.42 crores from the member allottees but failed to deposit

the same in accordance with the terms and conditions and subsequently, after the matter was highlighted, had deposited certain amount and thereby as per the allegations has embezzled more than ₹1.00 crore and on account of his misconduct, the Society has suffered financial loss of ₹3.50 crores approximately.

Heard Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate representing the petitioner; Mr. J.S. Toor, APP UT Chandigarh on behalf of the respondent and perused the records of the case.

The first and second regular bail applications were declined by this Court vide orders dated 01.09.2014 and 10.03.2015 respectively and even the Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) preferred by the petitioner stood declined by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide orders dated 17.04.2015. Thus, convincingly no fresh ground could be brought before this Court much less made out. In view of the seriousness of the allegations, the present petition does not call for grant of any relief and thus, the same stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 11, 2016

rps