

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210-26)

CRM-M-18560-2016

Decided on: June 03, 2016.

Raj Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Dharampal Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the application for bail has been dismissed by the trial Court on account of non-appearance of counsel for the petitioners.

Since the trial Court has not applied mind to the role attributed to the petitioners, counsel for the petitioners is permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to file fresh application for bail before the trial Court.

In case, any such application is filed, the trial Court will consider the same on merits irrespective of the earlier application having been dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

June 03, 2016
harsha