

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18537-2016

Date of Decision: 27.05.2016

BHUPINDER SINGH AULAKH

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate,
for Mr. H.S. Multani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

The short prayer in this petition is that the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur be directed to decide the revision expeditiously.

It comes out that the revision filed on 26.02.2016 before the learned Additional Judge, Sangrur. The interim orders in the said revision filed show that the date of one or two weeks was given by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur. Now, the said learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur is stated to be on training for one month with the result of that the matter could not be heard.

Since, it is clear that there is no delay on the part of the revisional Court to defer the hearing, therefore, no ground to issue any direction to the revisional Court is made out. However, if the petitioner has some grievance, he can always state the same before the appellate Court which shall consider the same in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed.

May 27, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**