

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-18523 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.10.2016

Hanish Thakur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 232 dated 25.08.2015, registered under Sections 398, 401, 307 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

It is contended that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. He has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The petitioner is in custody since 25.08.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since

25.08.2015; out of total 12 PWs, only 04 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.10.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No