

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -18515 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2016

Kuldeep Singh @ Kali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.20, dated 05.02.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323, 325, 148 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Kambo Amritsar, District Amritsar.

On 26.05.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.20 dated 05.02.2016 under Sections 452/323/325/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kambo Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Counsel would inter alia contend that the FIR has been got registered on 05.02.2016 in relation to an alleged occurrence which took place on 14.01.2016 and there is a delay of 23 days which has gone unexplained. That apart,

even as per complainant's version, the present petitioner was stated to be armed with a dang and is alleged to have given a blow on the finger of the right hand of Ranjit Singh i.e. son of the complainant.

Notice of motion, returnable for 07.09.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 26.05.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.05.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

07.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No