

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18483-2016
Date of decision : 11.08.2016.

Rajnish Bansal

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Dr. Anand Bishnoi, Advocate for respondent No.2 and 3.

M.M.S. BEDI, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is one of the 22 accused named by the complainant Satyveer Singh Bhati.

Reply filed by learned State counsel in Court is taken on record.

The complainant present in Court with his counsel admits that the matter has been compromised with the petitioner. Since the investigation is stated to be still pending, it will be open to the complainant to make a supplementary statement regarding the role of the petitioner in order to enable the investigating agency to arrive at correct conclusion and drop the proceedings qua the petitioner.

I do not deem it appropriate to entertain this petition for quashing of FIR, which appears to be a petition for patial quashing of FIR.

In view of the above observations, this petition is disposed of.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 11, 2016
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No