

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-18475 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 28th SEPTEMBER, 2016**

Anguri Devi & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. No.M-22788 OF 2016 (O&M)

Surender & others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. A. S. Sullar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.264 dated 06.11.2015 registered under Sections 302, 323, 148 & 149 IPC and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, 1989 at Police Station Narwana Sadar, District Jind.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioners are apprehending arrest and seek the order of anticipatory bail. The petitioners were granted interim bail by this court in these petitions vide orders dated 08.09.2016 and 12.07.2016 respectively.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custody is not required.

Learned counsel for the submits that there is no specific evidence against the petitioners since there was a free fight between the two groups numbering 100 to 150 person each side and unfortunately one person died in the occurrence.

In my opinion, looking to the entire matter there appears to be no evidence against the petitioners since there was a free fight between two groups having large number of persons.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 08.09.2016 passed in CRM-M No.18475 of 2016 and interim order dated 12.07.2016 passed in CRM-M No.22788 of 2016, are made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

28th SEPTEMBER, 2016
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.