

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-18461 of 2016
Date of Decision:-27.07.2016

Sanjiv Atri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Pankaj Mahavir Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.10, dated 07.01.2015, for offence punishable under Sections 419/420/467/468/471/120-B/201 IPC, and Section 66-C and 66-D of Information Technology Act, Police Station DLF Phase II, Gurgaon, Distt. Gurgaon.

This Court vide order dated 11.07.2016, while recording the contention of counsel for the petitioner that other co-accused, namely, Sachin @ Mohit has been released on bail by the trial Court, a right has accrued to the petitioner to claim parity by virtue of Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. has issued notice of motion in the case.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has pointed out that other co-accused, namely, Sachin @ Mohit has been released on bail by the trial Court by virtue of provisions Section 437(6) Cr.P.C, as the challan was not presented within the stipulated time.

Heard.

Considering the fact that other co-accused-Sachin @ Mohit has been released on bail by the trial Court by virtue of provisions of Section 437 (6) Cr.P.C, the petitioner is relegated to avail remedy before the trial Court including in terms of Section 437(6) Cr.P.C.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

July 27, 2016
Anjal