

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18445-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.08.2016

Parvesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Ashwani Mor.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in case FIR No.113 dated 10.03.2012, registered under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. City Bahadurgarh, Distt. Jhajjar.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He is neither named in the FIR, nor any role has been ascribed to him. No recovery has been effected from him. He is in custody since 10.03.2012. The complainant already stands examined.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the present petition on the ground that the petitioner is a habitual offender and involved in a number of FIRs. He further informs that out of twenty two

ATUL SETHI
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

prosecution witnesses, only four remain to be examined. Reply on behalf of respondent No.1, filed in the Court is taken on record.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents having been involved in as many as six other FIRs and the trial is at the fag end as only four witnesses remain to be examined, no case for grant of bail is made out, at this stage.

Dismissed.

27.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No