

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-1844 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 27th OCTOBER, 2016**

Tulsi Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned State counsel, relying on the reply, makes statement that after investigation the challan has been filed before the competent Court and therefore, the prayer made in this petition do not survive.

Learned counsel for the petitioner States that the petitioner apprehends threats and injury and, therefore, protection should be granted to him.

In my opinion, the best course before the petitioner is to approach the concerned Court where the challan has been filed.

Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

27th OCTOBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.