

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18437 of 2016
Date of decision: 6th September, 2016

Rajesh Beniwal @ Rajesh Chaudhary

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Satinder Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 12.07.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Mansa has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Avtar Singh, witness Jagmail Singh and the accused namely Rajesh Beniwal @ Rajesh Chaudhary, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the panchayati compromise Ex.P1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure service dispute and the fact that offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment

of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.23 dated 09.05.2012 registered at Police Station Kot Dharmu, District Mansa under Sections 420/465/468/471/120-B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 6, 2016

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No