

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18429 of 2016
DECIDED ON: May 24, 2016

SATWINDERJIT SINGH AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.
3. At the asking of Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.
4. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur, Punjab to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated May 21, 2016 (Annexure P-5)

and if respondent No.2 finds that there is threat perception to the petitioners, he shall provide the necessary protection, if so required.

5. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

May 24, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE