

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-1839 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.1.2016

Gurcharanjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory, Chandigarh, and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioner in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The petitioner has presented her case in person. She has some grievance against her counsel Shri Ranjan Lakhanpal (respondent No. 4) and claims that fee of Rs. 55,000/-, paid to the counsel, be ordered to be refunded.

I am of the view that it is a dispute between client and the advocate. The proper remedy is to be availed either before the Bar Council or before the Consumer Forum. This is not a case where the powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. should be exercised.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.1.2016
sjks