

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-18384 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2016

Vijay Saini

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Som Nath.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-29210-2016

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 03 dated 02.01.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 324, 427, 452, 506, 326 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar.

It is contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The petitioner is in custody since 02.02.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 02.02.2016 and out of total 17 PWs, only 02 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No