

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18382 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.08.2016

Rajbir @ Raju

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Kanwar Ashwani Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.73 dated 22.02.2015 registered under Sections 307, 332, 353, 186, 34 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2015 and no specific allegation has been levelled against him. He further submits that the investigation of the respondent is complete.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Karam Singh submits that on 20.02.2015 a ransom call was received. The police laid a trap and the petitioner and his co-accused were arrested from the spot when they came to collect the ransom money and it would be appropriate to put a time-cap on the trial and the prosecution will conclude its entire evidence by 30.11.2016 in case the petitioner does not obstruct the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are only official witnesses, who are to be examined.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in

view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its entire evidence on or before 30.11.2016 (subject to the accused not obstructing the same) the petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 09, 2016

sonia

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?*
- 2. Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No