

245.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18339 of 2016

Date of decision:13.07.2016

Prem Lata and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Pursuant to the order dated 02.06.2016, Mr. Rinku Sharma, Pandit of Shri Laxmi Narayan Mandir, Behrampur Road, Gurdaspur, is present in Court and states that he had issued the marriage certificate to the petitioners though he is not visible in the photographs. He undertakes that in future, he would be visible in the photographs of the marriages performed by him.

Learned State counsel has produced statement of respondent No.5-Shamo Devi wife of Roop Lal (mother of the petitioner No.1-Prem Lata) to the effect that she has no objection if the petitioners have

solemnized their marriage. The said statement is taken on record.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

However in case the petitioners still apprehend any threat to their life, they are at liberty to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police concerned for redressal of their grievance.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

13.07.2016
sanjeev