

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18334 of 2016

Date of decision: July 23, 2016

Krishan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Undoubtedly, the petitioner had filed two bail applications before the trial Judge. As a sequel, the trial Judge vide order dated 09.05.2016, dismissed the application on that ground. This Court, however, thinks that the plea for bail need to be decided on its own merits.

Therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, order dated 09.05.2016 passed by the trial Judge is set aside and trial Judge is directed to decide the bail application on its own merits.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

July 23, 2016
mahavir