

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-18328 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.10.2016

Indu Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kulwant Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner(s) has sought regular bail in case FIR No.75 dated 05.04.2016, registered under Section 3(x) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, at Police Station Fatehgarh, Punjab.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The complainant has now been examined, which is duly admitted by the learned State counsel. The petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2016.

The learned State counsel vehemently opposes the present petition. She further states that out of twelve prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined so far.

Heard.

Considering the facts that petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2016; out of twelve prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined so far; even the complainant stands examined; trial is likely to take long time, therefore, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.10.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No