SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2016.05.25 10:25
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-18239 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2016

Pargat Singh ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The perusal of the photocopy of receipt of India Post shows that the representation dated 2.5.2016 (Annexure-P-1/T) was sent on 10.5.2016 to the police authorities. The processing of the same in the office and putting up before the authority takes time.

In these circumstance, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to look into the representation of the petitioner dated 2.5.2016 (Annexure-P-1/T) and also assess threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and take necessary action in accordance with law within two months, without being influenced by the fact that the petitioner has approached this Court.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2016
sjks