

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18224 of 2016.

Decided on:-24.05.2016.

S.C. Dadhwal and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to ensure the peace, safety and security of the petitioners, who are stated to be senior citizens.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners confine their relief qua the State of U.T., Chandigarh and do not press the present petition qua respondents No.1 and 2.

Accordingly, the present petition qua respondents No.1 and 2 is dismissed as not pressed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the petitioners are old persons and after their superannuation, they settled in Jalandhar. However, there is some matrimonial dispute between respondent

No.4 and her husband Manish Dadhwal, who is son of the petitioners. The respondent No.4 has gone to settle in Chandigarh instead of the working place of her husband at Pune, which has led to the temperamental differences between the couple.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in order to seek redressal of their grievance, the petitioners have already approached respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh by way of representation dated 27.3.2016 (Annexure P-8), but no action thereon has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Ms. Ashima Mor, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take note of the contents of representation (Annexure P-8) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

May 24, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE