

CRM-M-18222-2016

1

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18222-2016

Davender Singh @ Davender Raghav & others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondent**

2.

CRM-M-18232-2016

Davender Singh @ Davender Raghav & others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondent**

3.

CRM-M-18351-2016

Davender Singh @ Davender Raghav & others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondent**

4.

CRM-M-18230-2016

Davender Singh @ Davender Raghav & others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondent**

Date of decision: September 15, 2016.

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, Advocate &
Shri Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Ashish Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

In these four cases, there is a prayer for quashing of various FIRs. The details of the FIRs and the respective numbers of the CRM-M petitions is given below:-

<i>Sr. No</i>	<i>FIR No. & Date</i>	<i>Under Sections (IPC)</i>	<i>PS</i>	<i>Petitioners</i>	<i>Petition No. (CRM-M)</i>
1	558/6.5.2015	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B	City Gurgaon	Davinder Raghav @ Davinder Singh, Davinder Singh, Varinder Singh, Sunder Lal, Naresh	18222/2016
2	1452/25.11.2015	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B	City Gurgaon	Davinder Raghav @ Davinder Singh, Davinder Singh, Varinder Singh, Sunder Lal, Naresh	18230/2016
3	628/20.5.2015	381, 383, 403, 408, 412, 420, 423, 463, 464, 467, 468, 471, 474, 506, 34, 120-B	City Gurgaon	Davinder Raghav @ Davinder Singh, Davinder Singh, Varinder Singh, Sunder Lal, Naresh	18232/2016
4	475/12.10.15	406, 420, 467, 468, 471	Badshahpur, Gurgaon	Davinder Raghav @ Davindere Singh, Davinder Singh,	18351/2016

This Court had made an order on 2.6.2016 for recording of statements of the parties before the Illaqa Magistrate subject, however, to deposit of Rs.50,000/- as costs with the District Legal Services Authority, Gurgaon. Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that the said order has been complied with. It is further reported that pursuant to the aforesaid order, statements of the parties have been recorded and the compromise has been found to be genuine. Pursuant to the said order, there is a report through District Judge, Gurgaon accompanied by the statements which shows that the compromise has been verified and the statements have been

recorded and have been found to be genuine.

In that view of the matter, this Court exercising its powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. allows compounding/compromising of the offences for quashment of the FIRs in question.

In the result, these petitions are allowed and the FIRs in question are quashed by way of compounding of the offences with permission from this Court.

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

September 15, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No