

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -18139 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2016

Paro Bai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. JP Ahlawat, Advocate, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Ram Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.88 dated 21.04.2016, registered under Sections 447, 379 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Sirsa.

On 11.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that the husband of the petitioner is co-sharer in the land in dispute. In the civil suit filed by the petitioner against her husband Lal Singh, status quo order has been passed.

Notice of motion for 23.09.2016.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice. A complete copy of the

paper book has been handed over to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That she shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 11.07.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

23.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No