

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1811-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: February 1, 2016.

Het Ram

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.. Respondent(s)

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This is the 4th application for the grant of pre-arrest bail filed by the petitioner.

When confronted as to what are the new changed circumstances warranting entertainment of this application, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that vide Annexure P10, challan has been presented against the complainant for having cheated the petitioner himself. He has argued that the FIR registered against the petitioner is just counterblast to the FIR which was registered at the instance of petitioner against the complainant.

Counsel for the petitioner has raised all the pleas again which were raised earlier at the time of adjudication of the earlier application.

I have considered the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the plea that the complainant in the present case has with mala fide intention launched prosecution against the petitioner, has already been raised before this Court. The challan Annexure P10, is a consequence of the FIR which stood registered against the complainant at the instance of petitioner when the earlier applications were dismissed. The pleas raised by counsel for the petitioner may constitute good grounds for grant of regular bail but no extra ordinary exceptional circumstances exit for the grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by entertaining this 4th petition for the grant of pre-arrest bail. The petition is dismissed.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**February 1, 2016.
rka**