

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-18081 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.09.2016

Manak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. JPS Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 190 dated 18.08.2014, registered under Sections 302 and 364 IPC, at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. There is no direct evidence against the petitioner which could connect him to the alleged occurrence. The co-accused of the petitioner, namely Budh Singh, has already been admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 22.04.2016 (Annexure P1)The petitioner is in custody since 10.08.2014.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that except the last seen, there is no direct evidence against the petitioner; the co-accused, Budh Singh has been enlarged on regular bail and out of total 17 PWs, 10 PWs have been examined, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No