

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18057-2016

Date of decision: July 12, 2016.

Imran

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Sunil Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms Tanushree Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.2 dated 1.1.2016, under Sections 4B/8 C.S. Act, 11 PCA Act, 25/54/59 of the Arms and 13(2) of Cow Protection Act and Sections 427, 307 IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar. The petitioner was arrested on the spot on 1.1.2016 and since then he is in custody.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that offence under Section 307 IPC has been added in order to give colour to the prosecution case when, as a matter of fact, there is no recovery of the fire arm from the petitioner who was arrested on the spot. Be that as it may, looking to the period spent by the petitioner in jail, following order is passed:-

ORDER

- (i) CRM-M-18057-2016 is allowed;
- (ii) bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the trial Judge;
- (iii) the petitioner undertakes not to indulge in similar kind of offence; and
- (iv) the prosecution is given liberty to apply for cancellation of bail if the petitioner is found indulged in similar offence;
- (v) trial be expedited.

July 12, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge