

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.19912 of 2013 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 15.09.2016

Sushil

.... Petitioner

versus

Jagpal Singh

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

Present: Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)**

On 20.05.2016, following order was passed:

*"Costs of ₹ 2,000/- as imposed vide order dated September 28, 2015, has not been deposited. Notice could not be issued to the respondent.*

*Case is pending for last three years. Only adjournments are being sought. Prima facie it appears that petitioner does not wish to pursue the matter. Learned counsel for the petitioner has, however, vehemently contended that another opportunity be granted to effect service on the sole respondent. Same is granted subject to payment of ₹10,000/- as costs.*

*To come up on 15.09.2016."*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite having informed to the petitioner, no response has been received.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

**September 15, 2016**  
*sonia*

**(AJAY TEWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

*1. Whether speaking/reasoned?  
2. Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*