

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.16996 of 2015
Date of decision: 14.03.2016

Gurmail Singh

----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab & others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to transfer the investigation of FIR No.97 dated 14.05.2014 under Sections 302 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar (Ropar) and to get conducted from a Senior IPS Officer outside District-Rupnagar (Ropar).

On 25.05.2015, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that First Information Report No.97 dated 14.5.2014 under Sections 302, 34 of the Indian Penal Code was got registered by him at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Ropar, but till date no action has been taken by the police against the accused/ culprits, who were named in the case.

Notice of motion for 3.8.2015.”

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Head Constable-Suresh Kumar, submits that in the present case, the matter is under active consideration and after the registration of the case, the Superintendent of Police, Ropar has conducted an enquiry and, accordingly, Section 302 IPC has been deleted, as no case was made out under that Section. Now, the FIR in question deals with Section 304 and 279 IPC. He further submits that the police will carry out the investigation as early as possible in any case within one month from today.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition, as the aforesaid developments in the case satisfies the claim of the petitioner.

Dismissed as not pressed.

14.03.2016

Anjal

**[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE**