

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-17876 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision : 11.11.2016**

Renu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioner in person with
 Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This petition is for directions to respondents no.2 & 3 to protect her life and liberty and for taking action against respondents no.4 to 6 as per law. The petitioner along with Balwinder Singh had previously approached this Court and had filed a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondent no.2 (SSP, Mohali) for protection as they were fearing threat to their lives from respondents no.3 & 4 after they had solemnized their marriage. Petitioner no.1 - Renu had claimed that she had attained age of majority and she had also filed her age proof in support thereof and their photographs. The petition had been filed through the counsel. Thereafter, the petitioners appeared in person on the last two hearings and the petition was allowed and directions were given to the SSP, Mohali to take appropriate action.

Petitioner – Renu now claims that her signatures were mis-used and some pictures were clicked and the previous petition was filed and it

Was against her wishes and respondent no.4 forced her to appear before the

Court saying that it was a formality and threat was given that he would post her objectionable pictures to her parents and after she was able to get an opportunity to escape, she has given a representation and she was facing threats to her life.

The petitioner was called in person and has been heard. A representation has been given by her to the SSP. It is for them to examine the complaint and find out the truth. No directions are required to be given. The petition is dismissed.

11.11.2016

Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No