

**148 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-1778 of 2016

Date of Decision: January 20, 2016

Gulzar Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Harbans Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The grouse of learned counsel for the petitioner is that in the cross case, learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala, vide order dated 13.08.2015 summoned accused No.1 only under Sections 323 and 324 IPC and accused Nos.2 to 6 were not summoned. The revision filed against the said order was dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala, vide judgment dated 07.01.2016.

After hearing learned counsel of the petitioner, I am of the view that the matter has already been examined in revision. There is nothing on file to show that the orders passed by the lower court as well as the order passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala are contrary to law. Therefore, there is not ground to interfere in the same.

Hence, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 20, 2016
sarita