

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-16783 of 2015

Date of Decision:-29.08.2016

Chetna Latwal

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Ms. Aarti, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Katari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for
Mr. Mukesh K. Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.3.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that since the matter has been compromised between the parties, he does not press the instant petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

August 29, 2016
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No