

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M 17732 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2016**

Nitin Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Mukesh Garg

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Rohit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the order dated 20.2.2016 Annexure P1 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, vide which, application of the petitioner for discharge was dismissed. Also impugned is the order dated 10.5.2016 Annexure P2 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide which, the revision was dismissed.

Heard.

It is argued that the legal notice was defective. I am of the view that when question of facts is involved, it is only to be decided by the trial Court. The matter has already been tested in revision. There is no ground to interfere.

The petition stands dismissed.

23.05.2016
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**