

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.16791 of 2014

Decided on:-12.05.2016.

Simarjit Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr.Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since during the course of trial, the parties have entered into a compromise and as a consequence thereof, the complaint has been withdrawn, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

May 12, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE